

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/628,817	07/28/2003	Hideki Ozawa	1259-03	5866		
35811	7590 10/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP			AHMED,	AHMED, SHEEBA		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE 1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900			ART UNIŢ	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			1773			
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/628,817	OZAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sheeba Ahmed	1773	

	Examine	Aitonit	
·	Sheeba Ahmed	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	•
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amandment	(PTOL-324)
=		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a New Market of the American sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:		10 N. 10	
	<i>y M</i>	ula Shr	ol
•	4	151171	•

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the Specification introduce new matter and hence will not be entered. Furthermore, the arguments presented to overcome the rejection of claims 25-41 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, are not persuasive. The Examiner maintians that the amendment adding that the antistatic film comprises a polyimide film having "no conductive ultrafine particles" introduces new matter and that there is no support for such an amendment in the original disclosure. The phrase "no conductive ultrafine particles" is a negative limitation and any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. Applicants are reminded that the mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion.